

1
2
3
4
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
7 AT TACOMA

8 JAMES STEWART,

9 Plaintiff,

v.

10 MARTI MCCAULEY, et al.,

11 Defendants.

CASE NO. C18-5407 BHS

ORDER STRIKING PENDING
MOTIONS

12
13 This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiffs James and Elizabeth Stewart's
14 ("Stewarts") Amended Motion to Report New Discoveries, Dkt. 28, and Motion to
15 Report Attacks to Plaintiff's Computer, Dkt. 29.

16 On August 15, 2018, the Court granted Defendants' motion to dismiss and entered
17 a judgment against the Stewarts, closing the case. Dkts. 20, 21. The Stewarts then filed a
18 motion for reconsideration on August 29, 2018, Dkt. 22, which the Court denied on
19 September 4, 2018, Dkt. 23. The Stewarts then filed a motion for a new trial on
20 September 11, 2018, Dkt. 24, which the Court denied on October 22, 2018, Dkt. 25.

21 The Court has previously denied a motion from Defendants in this case for
22 sanctions, though the Court found Defendants had outlined a series of conduct whereby it

1 plausibly could be concluded that Plaintiffs have engaged in a pattern of vexatious and
2 frivolous litigation. Dkt. 20 (citing Dkts. 8, 9, 18). There, the Court outlined its authority
3 to issue sanctions and warned the Stewarts that sanctions may be imposed in the future if
4 the Court determined that its resources were being wasted on vexatious lawsuits. Dkt. 20
5 at 4.

6 The Court finds that the Stewart's pending motions, Dkts. 28, 29, are improper,
7 and should be stricken. The Stewarts are directed not to file any further pleadings in this
8 action. Noncompliance with this Order will likely result in the imposition of sanctions.

9 **I. ORDER**

10 Therefore, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the Clerk is directed to strike the Stewarts'
11 motions, Dkts. 28, 29.

12 Dated this 16th day of April, 2019.

13
14 

15 **BENJAMIN H. SETTLE**
16 United States District Judge
17
18
19
20
21
22